

Planning Team Report

Murray LEP 2011 Amendment - Identify a local Heritage Conservation Area in Old Moama Precinct, Chanter Street, Moama

Proposal Title :	Murray LEP 2011 Amendment Precinct, Chanter Street, Moa	, ,	Conservation Area in Old Moama
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks Conservation Area of local sig		2011 to identify a Heritage na Precinct at Chanter Street, Moama.
		cal Environmental Plan (L	ital heritage' and associated heritage EP) 2011 in order the achieve its conservation Area'.
	The proposal also seeks to re items listed under Schedule 5		roperty description of 2 local heritage n Murray LEP 2011.
PP Number :	PP_2016_MRIVE_006_00	Dop File No :	16/15375-1

Proposal Details

Date Planning Proposal Received :	29-Nov-2016	LGA covered :	Murray River
Region :	Western	RPA :	Murray River Council
State Electorate :	MURRAY DARLING	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Precinct		

Location Details

Street :	54 Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 2 DP 1190071 (Former R	liver Capta	in's Cottage - Heritage Item	7)	
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 11 DP 802815				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 7 Section 18 DP 758686				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 8 Section 18 DP 758686				
Street :	60 Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 9 Section 18 DP 758686	(Former N	loama Telegraph Station - He	eritage Item I14)	

Street :	Chanter Street			Contraction of the second	1.
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 10 Section 18 DP 758	3686			
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 20 DP 262658				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel	Lot 21 DP 262658				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 2 DP 1179236 (Unma	tched lot)			
Street :	72 Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 3 DP 577291 (Reside	nce - Herita	ge Item I8)		
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel	Lot 22 DP 262658				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel	Lot 23 DP 262658				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 24 DP 262658				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel	Lot 25 DP 262658				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel	Lot 2 DP 1113444				
Street :	102 Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel:	Lot 1 DP 1113444				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel	Lot 7006 DP 1123157				
Street :	Chanter Street				

ecifici, chante	i Street, Moanna	_			
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 7020 DP 1123285				
Street :	99 Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 236 DP 751152				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 242 DP 751152				
Street :	Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 7021 DP 1123285 ('Maid				
Street :	100 Chanter Street				
Suburb :	Moama	City :	Moama	Postcode :	2731
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 Section 1 DP 758686 (Portal entr	ry of former Maiden's Inn Hot	el - Heritage Ite	em 113)
DoP Planning	Officer Contact Details				

Contact Name :	Deniz Kilic		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	Deniz.Kilic@planning	.nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Chris O'Brien		
Contact Number :	0358843400		
Contact Email :	cobrien@murrayriver.	.nsw.gov.au	
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	Wayne.Garnsey@plar	nning.nsw.gov.au	
Land Release Dat	ta		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

MDP Number :		Date of Release :			
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A		
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0		
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes				
If No, comment :	No known meetings with register	red lobbyists.			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No				
If Yes, comment :	No known meetings with register	red lobbyists.			
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal seeks to a Conservation Area of local signif Moama.				
	The proposed Heritage Conservation Area includes 23 lots along Chanter Street in Moama, in which there are five (5) existing heritage items. These items are already listed under 'Schedule 5 - Environmental heritage' in the Murray LEP 2011, and include the following items:				
	- Item I7 'Former captain's cottage' at 54 Chanter Street (Lot 2 DP 1190071)				
	- Item I8 'Residence' at 72 Chante - Item I13 'Portal entry of former ' Section 1 DP 758686)				
	- Item I14 'Former Moama Telegra 18 DP 758686)	aph Station' at 60 Chanter S	Street, Moama (Lot 9 Section		
	- Item I29 'Maiden's Punt' at Chanter Street, Moama (Lot 7021 DP 1123285)				
	The subject site comprises lots in both private ownership and Council owned lots.				
	The subject site of the proposed	-			
	Moama', the original settlement of Moama, on the northern banks of the Murray River. The proposal to create a conservation area will strengthen the planning controls applicable to any development within the subject area, and require consideration of clause 5.10 Heritage conservation under Murray LEP 2011.				
	It is noted that the subject area is lot size (MLS) of 120ha, which re in the absence of a prior consent Moama flood levee, and is flood mapping for Wetlands and Terre	stricts any further subdivis t/dwelling entitlement. The and bushfire prone land. T	sion and residential development subject area is outside the he site is also affected by LEP		
	In order achieve its intended out Environmental heritage' and asso order the achieve its intended ou Area'.	ociated heritage mapping u	under the Murray LEP 2011 in		

Council's heritage advisor is supportive of the proposed Heritage Conservation Area within the Old Moama Precinct. The proposal to create a conservation area in Old Moama had undergone a public consultation process in April 2014 as part of the Murray LEP 2011 Amendment 3 review, however the matter was deferred in proceeding Council meetings. There were 43 submissions to the proposal with recommendations to alter the scope of the proposed conservation area across Chanter St, Moama. The Moama Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) made an objection to the proposal were unclear.

Murray River Council will be required to consult extensively with both the Moama LALC and the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) in order to more clearly convey the intention of the proposed conservation area, as a Gateway condition.

The proposal also seeks to make 2 minor corrections to the property description (Lot and DP numbers) for items I7 and I14 under Schedule 5 Environmental heritage in Murray LEP 2011. These are minor administrative corrections, as follows:

- Item I7 has been incorrectly identified as "Lot 4, Section 18, DP758686" and should instead be identified as property description "Lot 2 DP 1190071" in Schedule 5 Environmental heritage in Murray LEP 2011.

- Item I14 has been incorrectly identified as "Lot 8 Section 18 DP758686" and should instead be identified as property description "Lot 9 Section 18 DP 758686" in Schedule 5 Environmental heritage in Murray LEP 2011.

It is recommended the Minister's delegate issue Gateway determination to proceed subject to conditions. It is recommended Council be required to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) as a Gateway condition during the consultation period.

Council has requested to exercise delegations of plan making functions and this is considered appropriate in this case as the proposal is of minor and local significance.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

An adequate statement of objectives or intended outcomes have been provided, summarised as: "to create a Local Significance Heritage Conservation Area within the subject land (located within the Old Moama Precinct in Chanter Street, Moama)."

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : An adequate explanation of provisions has been provided, summarised as: "this Heritage Conservation Area is to be included in Schedule 5 Environmental Heritage of the Murray Local Environmental Plan 2011"

The proposal also seeks to identify the Heritage Conservation Area on the LEP heritage mapping to achieve its intended outcomes.

Correction of minor administrative errors in Schedule 5 of the Murray LEP 2011 is also proposed, to identify correct address details for 2 heritage items.

Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands * May need the Director General's agreement 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection Is the Director General's agreement required? No c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 Murray REP No. 2 - Riverine Land e) List any other matters that need to **Consideration of relevant Section 117 Directions** be considered : The planning proposal considers all Section 117 Ministerial Directions. The following section 117 Directions are relevant in this case: 1.5 RURAL LANDS: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it relates to land within an existing environment protection zone (E3 Environmental Management). The proposal is consistent with this Direction as it considers and demonstrates consistency with the Rural Planning Principles listed in SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008. 2.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION ZONES: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it relates to land zoned E3 Environment Management. The proposal to create a Heritage Conservation Area does not create an inconsistency with this Direction. 2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the subject site contains items of environmental heritage significance. The proposal is consistent with the objectives of this Direction as it creates provisions to facilitate conserving items and places of heritage significance. 4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the proposal alters a provision that affects flood prone land. The provision triggers application of clause 5.10 Heritage conservation in Murray LEP 2011 for all development within the proposed Heritage Conservation Area. The proposal does not affect provisions that relate to flooding. Thus, the proposal is deemed consistent with this Direction. 4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the subject land is mapped as bushfire prone. The proposal does not alter provisions that relate to planning for bushfire protection and is therefore deemed consistent with this Direction. The planning proposal also considers all other Section 117 Ministerial Directions, and upon assessment are these deemed either not relevant or does not create any inconsistencies in this case. No further work is required in relation these Direction. Consideration of relevant SEPPs -

The planning proposal considers all SEPPs. The following SEPPs are deemed relevant

in this case:

SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008: The proposal does not adversely affect existing exempt and complying development requirements, beyond the need to also consider the 'heritage conservation area' provisions on the subject lots.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007: The proposal does not adversely affect the provisions in this SEPP, beyond the need to also consider the 'heritage conservation area' provisions on the subject lots.

SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008: The proposal is consistent with this SEPP as the Rural Planning Principles are considered and demonstrates overall consistency.

Murray Regional Environmental Plan no 2 - Riverine Land (MREP No 2): The proposal is consistent with this deemed SEPP as the proposal does not affect the provisions in place to protect the Murray River. The MREP No 2 will continue to be a consideration for all development along the riverine environment.

In consideration of all other SEPPs and deemed SEPPs, the proposal either does not create inconsistencies or these are deemed not relevant in this case.

No further work is required in relation to SEPPs.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal includes adequate indicative mapping for community consultation purposes. The planning proposal will achieve its intended outcome by amending 'Heritage Map - Sheet HER_006B' of the Murray LEP 2011, to identify a Heritage Conservation Area in Chanter Street, Old Moama.

Final LEP maps will be required with the section 59 submission.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

A 28 day public exhibition period is proposed and this is deemed appropriate. Community consultation should be carried out in the manner set out in the 'Guide to preparing Local Environmental Plans' (August 2016), including newspaper notification, Council's website and in writing to affected and adjoining landowners.

It is noted that preliminary public consultation has previously been undertaken by Council in relation to creating a Heritage Conservation Area in the Old Moama precinct in Chanter Street, Moama.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation	The Murray LEP 2011 was notified on 16 December 2011.
to Principal LEP :	

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is required as this is the only mechanism to create a Heritage Conservation Area under the Murray LEP 2011.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal does not create any inconsistencies with Council's unendorsed land use plan (Murray Strategic Land Use Plan). The subject area is identified as 'rural floodplain' and no urban development or intensification is identified as the area is outside the Moama flood levee.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal is unlikely to create adverse environmental, social and economic impacts. Any future development on the subject site will be subject to a merit based development assessment, including consideration of the heritage values of the Old Moama precinct.

Assessment Process

Proposal type	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Aboriginal Lan Office of Environme		itage	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :			
Heritage If Other, provide reasons	S :			
need for a formal Herita	age Study. If OEH reco	mmends t	nment and Heritage as a Gate he need for a heritage study submit the result of consulta	
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultatio	n required			

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Request for Initital Gateway Determination.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Council Meeting Agenda incl Planning Proposal - 15 November 2016.pdf	Proposal	Yes
MRC 15 November 2016 - Ordinary Council Meeting	Proposal	Yes
Minutes November 2016.pdf		
Attachment_4Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation _of_plan_making_functions.pdf	Proposal	Yes
BusinessPaperAttachments 8-15.opt_ 16 April 2013 .pdf	Proposal	Yes
BusinessPaperAttachments 8-15.opt_ 16 April 2013 .pdf	Proposal	Yes
BusinessPaperAttachments 16-21.opt_ 1 July 2014.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Site Photos.pdf	Photograph	Yes
Friends of Old Moama 110313 Old Telegraph Station.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
Additional Information :	It is recommended the Minister's delegate determines and supports the planning proposal, subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to submission of the planning proposal under section 59 of the EP&A Act, Council is required to rectify the two (2) minor administrative errors in property descriptions for local heritage Items I7 and I14 under Schedule 5 Environmental heritage in Murray LEP 2011, as described in the planning proposal.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the EP&A Act as follows:
	(a) The planning proposal is required to be made publicly available on exhibition for 28 days as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).
	(b) The relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs.
	3. Consultation is required with the following agencies under Section 56(2)(d) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Each agency is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the planning proposal.
	- Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) - Moama Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC)
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under

	section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. Prior to submission of the planning proposal under section 59 of the EP&A Act, the final LEP maps must be prepared and be compliant with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps' 2015.
	6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal will create a requirement to consider the heritage values of the Old Moama precinct for any development within the proposed Heritage Conservation Area, under clause 5.10 Heritage conservation of the Murray LEP 2011.
	Identifying a Heritage Conservation Area provides additional merit based grounds for development control at the site, and provides greater protection of the heritage values of the Old Moama precinct.
	The planning proposal is of minor and local significance.
Signature:	Dent
Printed Name:	Deniz Kilic Date: